



1999-50-2009

LL.M. Sari LEPPÄLUOTO
Refugee Advice Centre
Hallituskatu 23 A 6
FI - 90100 OULU

FOURTH SECTION

ECHR-LE2.2R
SHE

16 June 2009

Application no. 31333/09
M.A. v. Finland

BY FAX +358 8 557 8030

Dear Madam,

I acknowledge receipt on 16 June 2009 of your fax of the same date requesting the European Court of Human Rights under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court to stay your client's (client number 1087816) expulsion to Italy.

On 16 June 2009 the President of the Chamber to which the case has been allocated decided, in the interests of the parties and the proper conduct of the proceedings before the Court, to indicate to the Government of Finland, under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court, that the applicant should not be expelled to Italy until the period of ten days has elapsed from the date of the delivery of the final decision in the domestic proceedings.

The parties' attention is drawn to the fact that failure of a Contracting State to comply with a measure indicated under Rule 39 may entail a breach of Article 34 of the Convention. In this connection, reference is made to paragraphs 128 and 129 of the Grand Chamber judgment of 4 February 2005 in the case of *Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey* (applications nos. 46827/99 and 46951/99) as well as point 5 of the operative part.

The Government have been asked to submit a copy of the final decision in the matter immediately on its delivery.

Yours faithfully,



F. Araci
Deputy Section Registrar





1989-50-2009

LL.M. Sari LEPPÄLUOTO
 Refugee Advice Centre
 Hallituskatu 23 A 6
 FI - 90100 OULU
 Fax no. +358-8-55 78 030

FOURTH SECTION

ECHR-LE2.2R
 OMU/oe

12 June 2009

BY FAX AND MAIL

Application no. 30815/09
 D.H. v. Finland

Dear Madam,

I acknowledge receipt on 12 June 2009 of your fax of 12 June 2009 requesting the European Court of Human Rights under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court to stay your client's removal to Italy. The file has been given the above number. You must refer to it in any further correspondence relating to this case.

On 12 June 2009 the President of the Chamber to which the case has been allocated decided, in the interests of the parties and the proper conduct of the proceedings before the Court, to indicate to the Government of Finland, under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court, that the applicant should not be removed to Italy until further notice.

The parties' attention is drawn to the fact that failure of a Contracting State to comply with a measure indicated under Rule 39 may entail a breach of Article 34 of the Convention. In this connection, reference is made to paragraphs 128 and 129 of the Grand Chamber judgment of 4 February 2005 in the case of *Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey* (applications nos. 46827/99 and 46951/99) as well as point 5 of the operative part.

The Government have been asked to submit copies of the domestic decisions in this case immediately on their delivery.

Yours faithfully,


 F. Araci
 Deputy Section Registrar

